



DARPA's N3: The Future of Non-Surgical **Brain Interfaces**

Oct 30, 2024



The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)'s Next-Generation Nonsurgical Neurotechnology (N3) project is an ambitious initiative aiming to develop vast array of nanoscalar sensing and transmitting brain-computational interfaces (BCIs). An axiomatic attribute of such a system is obviating the burden and risks of neurosurgical implantation by instead introducing the nanomaterials via intranasally, intravenously and/or intraorally, and using electromagnetic fields to migrate the units to their distribution within the brain. It's said that location is everything, and so too here. Arrays would require precise placement in order to engage specific nodes and networks, and it's unknown if -and to what extent any "drift" might incur in system fidelity.

The system works much like WiFi in that it's all about parsing signal from the "noise floor" of the brain; but "can you hear me now?" takes on deeper implications when the sensing and transmitting dynamics involve "reading from" and "writing into" brain processes of cognition, emotions and behavior. I'd be the first one to argue for an "always faithful" paradigm of sensing and transmitting integrity; yet, even if the system and its workings are true to design, there's still a possibility of components (and functions) being "hacked". As work with Dr Diane DiEuliis has advocated, the need for "biocybersecurity-by-design" is paramount (not just for N3, but for all neurotech, given its essential reliance upon data).

By intent, N3 holds promise in medicine; but the tech is also provocative for communications (of all sorts), and its dual-use is obvious. Yes, Pandora, this jar's been opened. If we consider the sum-totaled operations of the embodied brain to be "mind", and N3-type tech is aimed at remotely sensing and modulating these operations, then it doesn't require much of a stretch to recognize that this is fundamentally "mind reading" and "mind control", at least at a basic level. And that's contentious. In full transparency, I served as a consulting ethicist on initial stages of N3, and the issues spawned by this project were evident, and deeply discussed. But discussion is not resolution, and the "goods" as well as the gremlins and goblins of N3 tech have been loosed into the real world. The real world is multinational, and DARPA – and the US – are not alone in pursuing these projects. Nations' and peoples' values, needs, desires, economics, allegiances, and ethics differ, and any genuine ethical discourses – and policy governances – must account for that. The need for a reality check is now; the question is whether there is enough rational capital in regulatory institutions' accounts to cash the check without bouncing bankable benefits into the realms of burdens, risks and harms.

Search

Subscribe to Clear and Present Podcast



Recent Posts

Dr. James Giordano Becomes Director of the Center for Disruptive Technologies and Future Warfare in the Institute for National Defense University's National Strategic Studies

New episode of The Convergence podcast

Potential Consciousness in Artificial Intelligence

James Giordano, Executive Director of the Institute for Biodefense Research, elected Fellow of the Hastings Center for Ethics

Investigating the Intelligence Community's Conclusions on Anomalous Health Incidents:

Archives

February 2025

January 2025

December 2024

November 2024

October 2024

September 2024

May 2024

January 2024

December 2023

September 2023

August 2023

July 2023

June 2023

April 2023

March 2023

February 2023

January 2023

September 2022

July 2022

June 2022

April 2022

March 2022

February 2022

January 2022

December 2021

November 2021

October 2021

September 2021

August 2021

May 2020

October 2019

May 2017

© Institute for Biodefense Research | Built by Lisa's Koubou | **Privacy Policy**

in f X a J